

EFFECT OF SOWING DATE ON GRAIN YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS OF SOME BREAD WHEAT GENOTYPES YIELD

***Shamroukh, M. ; A.A. Zein El-Abedeem and M.O. Mostafa**

Wheat Res. Dept., Field Crops Res. Inst. ARC.Giza, Egypt.

*E-mail- ma_sham2014@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Some farmers at Middle Egypt delay sowing data of spring wheat because their farms are planted with some cash crops that will be harvested late in December or January such as onions, potatoes and cabbage beside climate change. Thus, the experiment was suggested and carried out at Assiut agriculture research station (clay soil) at Middle Egypt during two successful seasons. Two field experiments were carried out at the Assuit Agricultural Research Station, Egypt, in two successive season of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023, using a split-plot design in a randomized complete block design arrangement with four replicates. Using three sowing dates (10th November, 5th December and 30th December) were randomly distributed in main plot and sixteen genotypes (fourteen lines and two bread wheat cultivars Misr 3 and Sakha 95) in subplot. The sowing dates had significant effects for all studied traits in both seasons and combined data. The genotype L8 gave the highest number of spikes/ m² (369 and 361) in the first and second sowing date respectively, while L7 recorded (266) in the late sowing, while, L12 showed the highest number of kernels / spike (86.62 and 82.75) in the first and second season, and L13 (65.13) under the late planting. The highest value for 1000 (KW) was recorded for L13 (42.71 and 40.52 g) in the optimum and second sowing date and L8 (82.98 g) under the late sowing. Concerning grain yield ard/fad (GY ard/fad) the lines L13, L12 and L11 showed the highest grain yield in the first, second and late sowing date, respectively.

Key Words: Wheat, Sowing Dates, Genotypes, Yield.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the important staple crops around the world. In Egypt, its grains are used as human food and its straw is used for animals feeding. The gap between production and consumption in Egypt remains wide in spite of the exerted efforts for increasing wheat production (**Attia and Barsoum 2013**). To minimize this gap, it is crucial to use optimum sowing dates and promising genotypes (**Ouda *et al.*, 2017**) to enhance

wheat productivity of unit area and to increase total cultivated area. Wheat sowing date and genotype are among the most important factors that affect grain yield and quality, where the optimum sowing date of wheat cultivars leads to increase 1000-grain weight (**Ali *et al.*, 2010**).

Conversely, late planting and the climate had scarious effect on wheat by genotypes (**Abdelkhalik and Hagra, 2021**). To increase yield advantage and maximum wheat output per unit area, choosing the right genotype is essential. However, most researchers have focused their studies on growth and yield rather than grain quality, (**Badawi *et al.*, 2014**).

Sowing time decide the growth habit of the crop as climatic conditions vary from optimum conditions. Cereals significantly respond to varying environmental features as their growth and grain development **Eslami *et al.*, (2014)**. The reduction in wheat yield and its components with delayed sowing date was the result of exposure of plants to high temperature, which decreased season length (**Suleiman *et al.*, 2014**). **Elhag (2012)**, found significant variation in yield and its components among wheat genotypes under normal and late planting. Also, it was reported that delaying sowing date reduced number of kernels/ spike, 1000- kernel weight and grain yield.

Despite the awareness of the benefits of timely sowing and the hazard resulting from delay, sowing often takes place long after the optimal time, it can be assumed that the breeding progress, changes of climate and used agricultural techniques make it necessary to modify the recommendation regarding the timeliness of sowing.

Therefore the target of the present study was: 1- evaluate the some bread wheat genotypes under optimum and late sowing , 2- investigate the interactive effects of sowing date and wheat genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at Assuit Agricultural Research Station, ARC, Assuit governorate (27°03'N latitude and 31°01'E longitude with an altitude of 71.00 meters above sea level), during both successive growing seasons of 2021/2022 and 2022/2023. The preceding crop in the two growing seasons was maize. The experimental materials consisted of fourteen wheat lines selected from introduction program for heat condition from CIMMYT and two commercials cultivars obtained from Wheat Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt. Name and pedigree of the studied bread wheat genotypes are shown in Table 1.

Table (1): Name, pedigree and origin of the sixteen selected wheat genotypes

| Se.No | Name | Pedigree | Origin | Source (2020/2021) |
|-------|---------|---|--------|--------------------|
| 1 | Line 1 | CHIPAK*2//SUP152/KENYA SUNBIRD | Cimmyt | 21HTWYT |
| 2 | Line 2 | SUP152/BAJ#1*2/3/KINGBIRD#1//INQALAB 91*2/TUKURU | Cimmyt | |
| 3 | Line 3 | QUAIU #1/BECARD/3/WBLL1*2/BRAMBLING*2//BAVIS | Cimmyt | |
| 4 | Line 4 | WBLL1*2/BRAMBLING/4/BABAX/LR42// BABAX*2/3/SHAMA*2/5/PBW343*2/KUKUNA*2//FRTL/PIFED | Cimmyt | |
| 5 | Line 5 | CHIBIA//PRLII/CM65531/3/FISCAL*2/4/TAM200/ TURACO/5/SWSR22T.B./2*BLOUK #1//WBLL1*2/KURUKU | Cimmyt | |
| 6 | Line 6 | SUP152/BAJ #1*2/4/WHEAR/VIVITSI//WHEAR/3/PANDORA | Cimmyt | |
| 7 | Line 7 | WBLL1*2/CHAPIO/6/CNDO/R143//ENTE/MEXI75/3/AE.SQ/4 /2*OCI/5/2*CIRCUS/7/WBLL1*2/ BRAMBLING*2/8/MUU/FRNCLN//FRANCOLIN #1 | Cimmyt | |
| 8 | Line 8 | ELVIRA/5/CNDO/R143//ENTE/MEXI75/3/AE.SQ/ 4/2*OCI/6/VEE/PJN//KAUZ/3/PASTOR/7/KIRITATI/4/2*SERL1 B*2/ 3/KAUZ*2/BOW//KAUZ/8/ELVIRA/5/CNDO/ R143//ENTE/MEXI75/3/AE.SQ/4/2*OCI/6/ VEE/PJN//KAUZ/3/PASTOR*2/9/BORL14 | Cimmyt | |
| 9 | Line 9 | BORL14*2/8/REH/HARE//2*BCN/3/CROC_1/AE.SQUARROSA (213)//PGO/4/HUITES/5/T.DICOCCON PI94624/AE.SQUARROSA (409)//BCN/6/REH/HARE//2*BCN/3/CROC_1/AE.SQUARROSA (213)//PGO/4/HUITES/7/MUTUS | Cimmyt | |
| 10 | Line 10 | PBW343*2/KUKUNA/3/PASTOR//CHIL/PRL/4/GRACK/ 5/MUU/FRNCLN//FRANCOLIN #1 | Cimmyt | |
| 11 | Line 11 | KACHU/SAUAL//PRL/3/KACHU/KIRITATI | Cimmyt | |
| 12 | Line 12 | TUKURU//BAV92/RAYON/3/FRNCLN/4/2*FRNCLN*2/TECUE #1 | Cimmyt | |
| 13 | Line 13 | MUTUS*2//TAM200/TURACO*2/3/KFA/2*KACHU | Cimmyt | |
| 14 | Line 14 | SWSR22T.B./2*BLOUK #1//WBLL1*2/KURUKU/3/BORL14/4/SWSR22T.B./2*BLOUK #1//WBLL1*2/KURUKU | Cimmyt | |
| 15 | Misr3 | ATTILA*2/PBW65*2/KACHU | Egypt | |
| 16 | Sakha95 | PASTOR//SITE/MO/3/CHEN/AEGILOPS SQUARROSA (TAUS) //BCN /4/ BLL1 | Egypt | |

(21th HTWYT) : Heat tolerance wheat yield trial season 2021- 2022

The meteorological data for the two growing seasons were obtained from The Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate, ARC. Egypt (Table 2).

Table (2): The monthly metrological parameters at Assuit Agricultural research station during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 growing seasons.

| 2021/2022 | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|------------|-------|------------|----------|
| Month | T max (°C) | T min (°C) | RH % | W.S / km/h | Sunshine |
| November | 28.6 | 13.4 | 50.7 | 9.9 | 9.4 |
| December | 20.3 | 7.7 | 54.7 | 10.7 | 9.0 |
| January | 17.1 | 4.5 | 57.1 | 10.3 | 8.9 |
| February | 20.2 | 6.4 | 51 | 13.8 | 9.7 |
| March | 22.6 | 8.4 | 39.2 | 18 | 9.9 |
| April | 34.6 | 16.2 | 26.4 | 15.4 | 10.3 |
| May | 35.2 | 19.3 | 25 | 18.1 | 11.4 |
| Average | 25.51 | 10.84 | 43.44 | 13.74 | 9.80 |
| 2022/2023 | | | | | |
| Month | T max (°C) | T min (°C) | RH % | W.S / km/h | Sunshine |
| November | 25.7 | 12.1 | 51.4 | 12 | 9.4 |
| December | 22.9 | 9.3 | 57.6 | 9.6 | 9.0 |
| January | 21.1 | 7.1 | 56 | 10.1 | 8.9 |
| February | 20.2 | 6.1 | 51 | 11.8 | 9.7 |
| March | 27.1 | 12.1 | 34.3 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| April | 31.5 | 15.1 | 25.5 | 14.2 | 10.3 |
| May | 34.6 | 19.2 | 26.4 | 13.5 | 11.4 |
| Average | 26.16 | 11.57 | 43.17 | 11.59 | 9.80 |

T Max = Maximum temperature (°C) **T min**= Minimum temperature (°C)

RH= Relative humidity (%) **W.S** = Wind speed (Km/h)

Latitude: 27.03 | Longitude: 31.01 | Altitude: 71m

Experimental design

The selected sixteen wheat genotypes were arranged in randomized complete block design with split plot arrangement in four replications in the two seasons. The main plots contain three sowing dates and sub plots contain the studied genotypes. Experimental plot area was 4.2 m². (six rows, 3.5 m long and 0.2 m apart). All recommended agricultural practices were applied as recommended for wheat crop. The three sowing dates in a two successive seasons were:

1-The first date (D1) was 10th November (recommended sowing date).

2-The second date (D2) was 5th December (late sowing date).

3-The third date was 30 (D3) of December (very late sowing date).

Data Recorded:

Date were recorded for 1-number of days to 50% heading (DH), 2-number of days to 50% physiological maturity (DM), 3-grain filling period (d) (GFP = DM- DH), 4-grain filling rate (g/d) (GFR = GY/GFP), 5-plant height (cm) (PH), and the agronomic data including 6-number of spikes/m² (NSM²), 7-number of kernels/spike (NKS) , 8-1000- kernel weight (1000-KW), 9-grain yield (GY) in ard/fed (one ard= 150 kg grains and one fed= 4200m²).

Statistical analysis:

Data were subjected to the proper statistical analysis as the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) of split plot design according to **Gomez and Gomez (1984)**. Treatments means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test as outlined by **Waller and Duncan (1969)**. Computation was done using SPSS computer software (**IBM crop 2021**). Combined analysis of variance across the two seasons was also performed if the homogeneity test was insignificant according to **Levene (1960)**.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**Effect of three sowing dates in both seasons and in combined**

Results in Tables 3, 4 and 5 clearly revealed that sowing dates had significant effects on the studied traits in both seasons and in combined data. All wheat genotypes significantly performed better at the optimum sowing date (first date). This demonstrates showed the importance of sowing date to evaluate the performance of different wheat cultivars. **Menshawy (2007)**, reported that environmental factors, viz., temperature and day length are distinct at the time of sowing and during crop growth under different natural photothermal environments. In general, delay in sowing date resulted in a significant decrease in yield and its components for all studied genotypes in this study. These results are in agreement with those obtained by **Upadhyay et al., (2015)** **Ali et al., (2016)** and **El Sayed et al., (2018)** who indicated that late sowing subjected wheat grains to low soil temperatures causing poor emergence, and high temperatures at the end of season, which might adversely effect on reproductive growth stage.

The results showed significant effect of sowing dates on all studied characteristics. It was clear that the significant between numbers of spikes/ m² (NSPM2) (338, 334 and 336) in the first and second season and combined data, respectively in the optimum date, and the late sowing date was (249, 252 and 251) in first and second season and overall data. In this context, grain growth was reported to be reduced due to the high temperatures during grain filling and consequently abnormal grain development and shortened the period for normal grain development (**Seleem, & Abd El –Dayem, 2013** and **El-Areed et al., 2017**). These results are in agreement with those obtained by **Talukder et al., (2014)**. Number of kernels/spike (NKS) were (83.09, 78.48 and 80.79), respectively in first and second season and overall data, in the optimum date. But these values in the late sowing date were (62.58, 56.84 and 59.71) respectively, in first and second season and overall seasons, for 1000 kernel weight (1000KW)(g) (41.13, 38.72 and 39.93g) in first and

second season and overall data in the optimum date, and the late sowing date was (31.16, 29.15 and 30.15 g) in first and second season and overall data, for grain yield ard/fad (GY ard/fad) (15.16, 14.95 and 15.05 ard/fad) in the optimum sowing date and in the late sowing date was (7.16, 7.06 and 7.11 ard/ fad, respectively, in first and second season and overall data. These results are in good agreement with those reported by **Tawfelis *et al.*, (2006)** ; **Talukder (2014)** ; **Menshawy *et al.*, (2015)** and **Yadav *et al.*, (2018)**. **Menshawy *et al.*, (2007)** recorded that timing of initiation of vegetative and reproductive organs depends upon temperature and photoperiod, but the survival and subsequent size of such organs is dependent upon the supply of assimilates. The choice of sowing date is, therefore, vital to ensure both sufficient grain sitting initiated and sufficient assimilates. **Ali *et al.*, (2016)**, reported that late sowing of wheat subjected the grains to low soil temperatures causing poor emergence, and high temperature at the end of season, which might adversely affect reproductive growth stage.

Table (3): Mean performance of three sowing dates in both seasons and combined for days to heading (DH), days to maturity (DM) and grain filling period (GFP).

| Dates (D) | DH | | | DM | | | GFP | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|
| | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall |
| D1 | 97 | 93 | 95 | 142 | 140 | 141 | 45 | 47 | 46 |
| D2 | 95 | 89 | 92 | 133 | 129 | 131 | 38 | 40 | 39 |
| D3 | 84 | 81 | 83 | 119 | 115 | 117 | 35 | 34 | 34 |
| Mean | 92.0 | 87 | 90.0 | 131.3 | 128.0 | 129.7 | 39.3 | 40.3 | 39.7 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.6 | 0.67 | 0.7 | 0.71 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.78 | 0.8 |
| Genotypes (G) | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall |
| Line 1 | 91 | 85 | 88 | 129 | 126 | 128 | 39 | 41 | 40 |
| Line 2 | 90 | 82 | 86 | 129 | 122 | 125 | 39 | 40 | 40 |
| Line 3 | 90 | 88 | 89 | 130 | 127 | 129 | 40 | 39 | 39 |
| Line 4 | 91 | 86 | 88 | 131 | 127 | 129 | 40 | 41 | 40 |
| Line 5 | 87 | 81 | 84 | 127 | 126 | 126 | 41 | 44 | 43 |
| Line 6 | 92 | 87 | 89 | 130 | 128 | 129 | 38 | 42 | 40 |
| Line 7 | 92 | 87 | 90 | 133 | 130 | 132 | 42 | 43 | 42 |
| Line 8 | 94 | 89 | 92 | 135 | 132 | 133 | 41 | 42 | 42 |
| Line 9 | 93 | 91 | 92 | 135 | 128 | 132 | 42 | 38 | 40 |
| Line 10 | 89 | 84 | 86 | 129 | 127 | 128 | 40 | 43 | 42 |
| Line 11 | 88 | 86 | 87 | 129 | 125 | 127 | 40 | 39 | 39 |
| Line 12 | 93 | 91 | 92 | 134 | 130 | 132 | 41 | 40 | 40 |
| Line 13 | 94 | 88 | 91 | 133 | 128 | 131 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Line 14 | 97 | 94 | 95 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 34 | 36 | 35 |
| Misr 3 | 94 | 93 | 94 | 133 | 130 | 131 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| Sakha 95 | 96 | 94 | 95 | 133 | 130 | 131 | 37 | 36 | 36 |
| Mean | 91.9 | 87.9 | 89.9 | 131.3 | 127.9 | 129.6 | 39.6 | 40.1 | 39.8 |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.1 | 2.33 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.92 | 1.4 |
| Interaction D*G | 2.9 | 3.15 | 2.1 | 3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.83 | 2.50 |

Table (4): Mean performance of three sowing dates in both seasons and combined for grain filling rate (GFR), plant height (PH) and number of spikes/m² (NSM2).

| Dates (D) | GFR (g/day) | | | PH (cm) | | | NSM2 | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall |
| D1 | 50.92 | 48.2 | 49.56 | 101.03 | 98.38 | 99.7 | 338 | 334 | 336 |
| D2 | 45.38 | 39.94 | 42.66 | 91.06 | 90.2 | 90.63 | 329 | 320 | 325 |
| D3 | 30.9 | 31.66 | 31.28 | 81.86 | 85.17 | 83.52 | 249 | 252 | 251 |
| Mean | 42.4 | 39.9 | 41.2 | 91.3 | 91.3 | 91.3 | 305.3 | 302.0 | 304.0 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.57 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.91 | 1.47 | 2.13 | 3.09 | 2.91 | 4.2 |
| Genotypes (G) | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall |
| Line 1 | 43.59 | 40.81 | 42.20 | 87.33 | 86.08 | 86.71 | 292 | 288 | 290 |
| Line 2 | 44.51 | 42.33 | 43.42 | 93.08 | 90.58 | 91.83 | 323 | 314 | 318 |
| Line 3 | 41.79 | 40.54 | 41.17 | 94 | 94.33 | 94.17 | 318 | 310 | 314 |
| Line 4 | 38.95 | 38.18 | 38.57 | 90.25 | 89.42 | 89.83 | 322 | 317 | 320 |
| Line 5 | 40.33 | 36.08 | 38.20 | 90.67 | 90.58 | 90.62 | 298 | 293 | 295 |
| Line 6 | 40.36 | 36.27 | 38.31 | 91.42 | 93.33 | 92.38 | 285 | 286 | 286 |
| Line 7 | 40.95 | 37.45 | 39.20 | 88.08 | 88.08 | 88.08 | 338 | 333 | 336 |
| Line 8 | 41.74 | 34.27 | 38.01 | 88 | 89.42 | 88.71 | 335 | 329 | 332 |
| Line 9 | 37.11 | 41.16 | 39.14 | 94.08 | 97.25 | 95.67 | 300 | 294 | 297 |
| Line 10 | 34.89 | 36.67 | 35.78 | 88 | 87.17 | 87.58 | 287 | 287 | 287 |
| Line 11 | 50.32 | 47.43 | 48.88 | 95.33 | 96.08 | 95.71 | 310 | 298 | 304 |
| Line 12 | 41.78 | 41.42 | 41.60 | 91.17 | 90.17 | 90.67 | 289 | 285 | 287 |
| Line 13 | 45.85 | 41.44 | 43.64 | 90.42 | 88.83 | 89.62 | 315 | 327 | 321 |
| Line 14 | 50.57 | 44.17 | 47.37 | 97.42 | 97.25 | 97.33 | 285 | 287 | 286 |
| Misr 3 | 40.47 | 39.97 | 40.22 | 86.75 | 86.5 | 86.62 | 300 | 298 | 299 |
| Sakha 95 | 45.19 | 40.72 | 42.95 | 95.08 | 94.92 | 95 | 291 | 286 | 289 |
| Mean | 42.40 | 39.90 | 41.20 | 91.30 | 91.20 | 91.30 | 305.50 | 302.00 | 303.80 |
| LSD 0.05 | 4.54 | 5.72 | 2.99 | 4.28 | 6.37 | 3.04 | 15.1 | 9.56 | 6.70 |
| Interaction D*G | 6.72 | 8.19 | 5.28 | 7.91 | NS | 5.45 | 19.6 | 13.34 | 11.8 |

Table (5): Mean performance of three sowing dates in both seasons and combined for number of kernels/spike (NKS), 1000 kernel weight (1000 KW) and grain yield (GY).

| Dates (D) | NKS | | | 1000 KW (g) | | | GY ard/fad | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|-------------|-------|---------|------------|-------|---------|
| | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall |
| D1 | 83.09 | 78.48 | 80.79 | 41.13 | 38.72 | 39.93 | 15.16 | 14.95 | 15.05 |
| D2 | 74.55 | 73.66 | 74.1 | 37.5 | 36.79 | 37.15 | 11.5 | 10.52 | 11.01 |
| D3 | 62.58 | 56.84 | 59.71 | 31.16 | 29.15 | 30.15 | 7.16 | 7.06 | 7.11 |
| Mean | 73.4 | 69.7 | 71.5 | 36.6 | 34.9 | 35.7 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.1 |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.18 | 1.01 | 1.87 | 0.77 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.38 |
| Genotypes (G) | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall | 21/22 | 22/23 | Overall |
| Line 1 | 73.42 | 68.58 | 71 | 35.06 | 33.63 | 34.35 | 11.42 | 11.23 | 11.33 |
| Line 2 | 76.25 | 71 | 73.62 | 38.66 | 37.57 | 38.12 | 11.71 | 11.52 | 11.62 |
| Line 3 | 75.08 | 73 | 74.04 | 34.83 | 32.42 | 33.62 | 11.14 | 10.79 | 10.96 |
| Line 4 | 72.92 | 67.25 | 70.08 | 35.42 | 33.82 | 34.62 | 10.53 | 10.52 | 10.53 |
| Line 5 | 76 | 68.08 | 72.04 | 34.24 | 32.13 | 33.18 | 11.05 | 10.85 | 10.95 |
| Line 6 | 68.92 | 66.08 | 67.5 | 37.42 | 34.45 | 35.93 | 10.42 | 10.3 | 10.36 |
| Line 7 | 73.33 | 73.83 | 73.58 | 37.15 | 34.93 | 36.04 | 11.49 | 10.94 | 11.22 |
| Line 8 | 71.25 | 65.42 | 68.33 | 38.29 | 36.72 | 37.5 | 11.57 | 9.85 | 10.71 |
| Line 9 | 76.92 | 72.92 | 74.92 | 36.05 | 34.96 | 35.5 | 10.44 | 10.61 | 10.53 |
| Line 10 | 73.08 | 73.5 | 73.29 | 34.78 | 35.03 | 34.9 | 9.55 | 10.84 | 10.2 |
| Line 11 | 74.25 | 68.5 | 71.38 | 38.49 | 35.31 | 36.9 | 13.6 | 12.44 | 13.02 |
| Line 12 | 79.08 | 74.25 | 76.67 | 33.86 | 34.16 | 34.01 | 11.61 | 11.2 | 11.41 |
| Line 13 | 71.75 | 66.25 | 69 | 40.15 | 37.25 | 38.7 | 12.4 | 11.35 | 11.88 |
| Line 14 | 70.42 | 68.33 | 69.38 | 37.37 | 35.51 | 36.44 | 11.5 | 10.95 | 11.22 |
| Misr 3 | 72.42 | 70.67 | 71.54 | 35.66 | 34.24 | 34.95 | 10.57 | 10.07 | 10.32 |
| Sakha 95 | 69.42 | 66.92 | 68.17 | 38.17 | 36.05 | 37.11 | 11.35 | 9.99 | 10.67 |
| Mean | 73.4 | 69.7 | 71.5 | 36.6 | 34.9 | 35.7 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.1 |
| LSD 0.05 | 5.01 | 4.83 | 2.93 | 1.49 | 2.18 | 1.08 | 1.25 | 1.30 | 0.71 |
| Interaction D*G | NS | 5.79 | 5.19 | NS | 2.5 | 1.90 | 1.61 | 1.92 | 1.24 |

Response of wheat genotypes

Results from Tables (3, 4 and 5) show that the wheat genotypes significantly differed in all studied traits in the two growing seasons and in the combined analysis. For days to heading (DH), L5 was the earliest genotype and L14 and Sakha 95 were the latest one in the two seasons and in overall data. For days to maturity (DM) also L5 was the earliest variety in the first seasons and L2 in the second season and in overall data and L8 was the latest one in the two seasons and in overall data. For the grain filling period (GFP) the wheat line L7 was having the longest grain filling period in the two seasons and overall data, while L14 had the shortest grain filling period under the two growing seasons and across seasons (Table 3).

For the grain filling rate (GFR) the wheat genotype L14 and L11 had the longest grain filling rate in the first season and L11 in the second season and overall data, while L10 had the shortest grain filling period in the first growing seasons and overall data while L8 was in second season (Table 4).

For plant height (PH), data in Table (4) revealed that **L14**, in the both and overall data the tallest plants with (97.42, 97.25 and 97.33 cm), while, **L1** had the shortest one with (87.33, 86.08 and 86.71 cm) in the first and the second season and overall data.

For the number of spikes/ m² (SPM2), the wheat genotype L6 showed the lowest number of spikes/ m² (285, 286 and 286) in the two seasons and across seasons, while L7 showed the highest number of spikes/ m² in the first and second seasons and overall data (338, 333 and 336) (Table 4).

For the number of kernels/spike (KSP), **L6** showed the lowest number of kernels/spike among the tested wheat genotypes (68.92, 66.08 and 67.50) and L12 showed the highest number of kernels / spike (79.08, 74.25 and 76.76) in the two seasons and overall data (Table 5).

For 1000 kernel weight (1000-KW), the genotype L13 showed the highest value of 1000 kernel weight (40.15, 37.25 and 38.7 g) in the two consecutive seasons and overall data, while, L5 had the lowest value of 1000 kernel weight (34.24, 32.13 and 33.18 g) in two seasons and overall data (Table5) .

For the grain yield (GY), in the both seasons and overall data L11 showed the highest grain yield (13.6, 12.44 and 13.02 ard/fad) and L10 showed the highest grain yield (9.55 and 10.2 ard/fad) in the first season and overall data. On the other hand, L8 produced the lowest grain yield (9.85 ard/fad) in the second season (Table 5).

A highly significant differences were obtained among the bread wheat genotypes for grain yield and yield component. This result indicates the presence of a clear degree of genetic variation that may

contribute the most to adaptation and flexibility to diverse environmental conditions. Variations among wheat cultivars might be attributed to the genetic constitution of the cultivar. These results are in harmony with (Hasina *et al.*, 2012; Hassanein *et al.*, 2012; Lak *et al.*, 2013; Upadhyay *et al.*, 2015 and Ali *et al.*, 2016).

Effect of sowing date on wheat genotypes

The presented data in Tables (6, 7 and 8), show that highly significant differences were obtained due to different sowing dates, for days to heading (DH), **L5** was the earliest genotype in three times of sowing date and followed by L2, **L14** was the latest one in three times of date. For days to maturity (DM) also L2 then **L5** were the earliest genotypes in three times of sowing date, and L8 was the latest one in three times of sowing date. For the grain filling period (GFP) the wheat line L7 was having the longest grain filling period in first and third sowing date while L5 and L8 in the second sowing date, and Sakha 95 had the shortest grain filling period under the first and the third date and L14 under the second date (Table 6).

For the grain filling rate (GFR) the wheat genotype L11 was having the longest grain filling rate in the three times of sowing date, while L10 had the shortest grain filling period under first and second date and L9 under the last date (Table 7).

For plant height (PH), data in Table (7) revealed that, **L14** in the first and last date the tallest plants with (111.5 and 88.5 cm) and Sakha 95 (92.38 cm) in the second date, while, **L1** had the shortest one with (86.13 and 78.5 cm) in the second and the last date, and Misr 3 (92 cm) under the optimum date.

For the number of spikes/ m² (NSM2), the wheat genotype L8 showed the highest number of spikes/ m² (369 and 361) in the first and second sowing date, while L7 showed the highest number of spikes/ m² (296) in the last sowing date, and L14 gave the lowest number of spike/m² under optimum date, L6 under second date and L10 under the latest date (Table 7). The reason for the decrease in the number of grains in the late dates is due to high temperature during that period, which negatively affected the number of grains in the spike (Table 2). These results agree with Al-Jiashi (2020) and Jassim *et al.*, (2016) who found a significant difference in the different sowing dates for the number of grains traits during the period of its formation and this result agree also with Farooq *et al.*, (2018).

Table (6): Overall mean performance of three sowing dates in two growing seasons for days to heading (DH), days to maturity (DM) and grain filling period (GFP).

| Genotypes (G) | DH (d) | | | DM (d) | | | GFP (d) | | |
|---------------|--------|----|----|--------|-----|-----|---------|----|----|
| | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 |
| Line 1 | 92 | 90 | 81 | 138 | 129 | 116 | 46 | 39 | 34 |
| Line 2 | 90 | 88 | 78 | 135 | 127 | 114 | 45 | 39 | 35 |
| Line 3 | 96 | 91 | 80 | 141 | 129 | 115 | 45 | 38 | 35 |
| Line 4 | 94 | 91 | 80 | 139 | 131 | 117 | 44 | 40 | 37 |
| Line 5 | 89 | 85 | 78 | 138 | 128 | 114 | 49 | 42 | 36 |
| Line 6 | 93 | 91 | 84 | 140 | 130 | 117 | 47 | 38 | 34 |
| Line 7 | 94 | 92 | 83 | 143 | 132 | 121 | 49 | 40 | 38 |
| Line 8 | 97 | 93 | 85 | 145 | 135 | 121 | 47 | 42 | 36 |
| Line 9 | 98 | 94 | 83 | 143 | 133 | 119 | 44 | 39 | 36 |
| Line 10 | 91 | 89 | 78 | 140 | 130 | 114 | 49 | 41 | 35 |
| Line 11 | 92 | 89 | 81 | 137 | 129 | 114 | 46 | 40 | 33 |
| Line 12 | 99 | 94 | 84 | 145 | 135 | 117 | 46 | 40 | 33 |
| Line 13 | 95 | 92 | 84 | 141 | 132 | 119 | 46 | 40 | 34 |
| Line 14 | 100 | 97 | 88 | 144 | 131 | 116 | 44 | 34 | 27 |
| Misr 3 | 99 | 96 | 86 | 143 | 132 | 120 | 44 | 36 | 34 |
| Sakha 95 | 100 | 97 | 87 | 142 | 133 | 119 | 41 | 36 | 31 |
| mean | 95 | 92 | 83 | 141 | 131 | 117 | 46 | 39 | 34 |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.1 | | | 2.1 | | | 2.5 | | |

Table (7): Overall mean performance of three sowing dates in two growing seasons for grain filling rate (GFR), plant height (PH) and number of spikes/m² (NSM2).

| Genotypes (G) | GFR (g/d) | | | PH (cm) | | | NSM2 | | |
|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 |
| Line 1 | 47.27 | 41.52 | 37.82 | 95.5 | 86.13 | 78.5 | 325 | 315 | 230 |
| Line 2 | 54.79 | 39.68 | 35.79 | 97.63 | 93.25 | 84.63 | 347 | 332 | 275 |
| Line 3 | 47.62 | 45.22 | 30.65 | 101.25 | 94.63 | 86.63 | 352 | 339 | 251 |
| Line 4 | 46.04 | 38.21 | 31.45 | 97.88 | 89.13 | 82.5 | 354 | 340 | 266 |
| Line 5 | 45.67 | 36.58 | 32.35 | 100.25 | 87.88 | 83.75 | 327 | 313 | 247 |
| Line 6 | 47.32 | 39.01 | 28.61 | 102.5 | 87.25 | 87.38 | 316 | 304 | 237 |
| Line 7 | 45.9 | 44.48 | 27.23 | 94.13 | 90.13 | 80 | 359 | 352 | 296 |
| Line 8 | 43.9 | 42.6 | 27.52 | 97.5 | 88.38 | 80.25 | 369 | 361 | 266 |
| Line 9 | 49.92 | 41 | 26.48 | 105.25 | 97.5 | 84.25 | 327 | 315 | 247 |
| Line 10 | 43.76 | 36.8 | 26.79 | 93.5 | 90.13 | 79.13 | 329 | 314 | 218 |
| Line 11 | 55.18 | 47.76 | 43.7 | 107 | 92.75 | 87.38 | 341 | 326 | 245 |
| Line 12 | 50.13 | 47.93 | 26.75 | 97.75 | 92 | 82.25 | 317 | 310 | 235 |
| Line 13 | 55.43 | 44.84 | 30.66 | 96.25 | 88.75 | 83.88 | 346 | 334 | 282 |
| Line 14 | 54.1 | 49.14 | 38.88 | 111.5 | 92 | 88.5 | 315 | 306 | 237 |
| Misr 3 | 47.66 | 41.67 | 31.34 | 92 | 87.88 | 80 | 332 | 320 | 245 |
| Sakha 95 | 58.3 | 46.09 | 24.48 | 105.38 | 92.38 | 87.25 | 320 | 312 | 234 |
| Mean | 49.56 | 42.66 | 31.28 | 99.7 | 90.63 | 83.52 | 336 | 325 | 251 |
| LSD 0.05 | 5.28 | | | 5.45 | | | 11.80 | | |

Table (8): Overall mean performance of combined of three sowing date at two growing seasons of number of kernels/spike (NKS), 1000 kernel weight (1000 KW) and grain yield (GY).

| Genotypes (G) | NKS | | | 1000 KW (g) | | | GY ard/fad | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|------|
| | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 |
| Line 1 | 77.75 | 74.88 | 60.38 | 38.59 | 37.09 | 27.35 | 14.5 | 10.82 | 8.66 |
| Line 2 | 82.75 | 74.5 | 63.63 | 42.2 | 39.55 | 32.6 | 16.33 | 10.16 | 8.35 |
| Line 3 | 86.25 | 75.25 | 60.63 | 38.52 | 34.52 | 27.83 | 14.26 | 11.38 | 7.25 |
| Line 4 | 78.38 | 75.25 | 56.63 | 38.3 | 34.68 | 30.88 | 13.67 | 10.18 | 7.73 |
| Line 5 | 83.38 | 73.25 | 59.5 | 37.72 | 35.04 | 26.79 | 14.87 | 10.2 | 7.77 |
| Line 6 | 76.5 | 68.38 | 57.63 | 38.73 | 37.63 | 31.44 | 14.67 | 10.03 | 6.38 |
| Line 7 | 85.25 | 78.5 | 57 | 38.77 | 37.75 | 31.59 | 14.95 | 11.79 | 6.9 |
| Line 8 | 82.38 | 67.63 | 55 | 40.88 | 38.65 | 32.98 | 13.8 | 11.73 | 6.6 |
| Line 9 | 84.25 | 78.88 | 61.63 | 38.36 | 37.54 | 30.61 | 14.65 | 10.61 | 6.33 |
| Line 10 | 83.88 | 75.75 | 60.25 | 39.28 | 36.84 | 28.59 | 14.24 | 10.04 | 6.31 |
| Line 11 | 79.38 | 75.63 | 59.13 | 42.59 | 37.31 | 30.79 | 16.86 | 12.63 | 9.56 |
| Line 12 | 86.62 | 82.75 | 60.63 | 40.8 | 34.54 | 26.68 | 15.44 | 12.93 | 5.85 |
| Line 13 | 73 | 68.88 | 65.13 | 42.71 | 40.52 | 32.87 | 16.85 | 11.77 | 7.01 |
| Line 14 | 76.38 | 71.5 | 60.25 | 41.11 | 37.49 | 30.73 | 15.72 | 10.94 | 7.01 |
| Misr 3 | 82.25 | 74.13 | 58.25 | 39 | 36.6 | 29.26 | 14.01 | 9.99 | 6.97 |
| Sakha 95 | 74.25 | 70.5 | 59.75 | 41.23 | 38.61 | 31.49 | 16.01 | 10.96 | 5.05 |
| Mean | 80.79 | 74.1 | 59.71 | 39.93 | 37.15 | 30.15 | 15.05 | 11.01 | 7.11 |
| LSD 0.05 | 5.19 | | | 1.90 | | | 1.24 | | |

For the number of kernels/spike (KSP), L13 (73) showed the lowest number of kernels/spike among the tested wheat genotypes in the optimum date while, L8 (67.63 and 55) in the second and third sowing date. L12 showed the highest number of kernels / spike (86.62 and 82.75) in the first and second season, and L13 (65.13) under the late season (Table 8).

For 1000 kernel weight (1000-KW), the genotype L13 showed the highest value of 1000 kernel weight (42.71 and 40.52 g) in the optimum and second date and L8 under the third sowing date, while, L5 (37.72 g) in the first date, L4 in the second sowing date (34.68 g) and L12 (26.68 g) in the late season had the lowest value of 1000 kernel weight (Table 8).

For the grain yield (GY), in the both seasons and combined L13 (16.85 ard/fad), L12 (12.93 ard/fad) and L11 (9.56 ard/fad) showed the highest grain yield in the first, the second and the late sowing date, respectively. On the other hand, L4 (13.67 ard/fad), L2 (10.16 ard/fad) and Sakha 95 (5.05 ard/fad) produced the lowest grain yield in the first, the second and the late sowing date, respectively. (Table 8).

These results may be due to the appropriate temperature at different developmental stages and consequently increased net assimilation rate. These results are in harmony with the findings of **Riaz- Ud-Din et al (2010)** and **Talukder et al (2014)**. Under the late sowing date, there were high heat stress, especially during grain filling period, and resulted in the reduction in grain yield, which could be due to the reduction in

grain weight and shortened period to maturity (**Riaz- Ud-Din et al., 2010**).

The discrepancy between the genotypes in this trait may be due to the difference in the number of grains in the spike, which led to a greater opportunity for the accumulation of nutrients in the grain due to the lack of competition, agree with **Al-Amiri et al., (2016) and Al-Hamdawi (2017)**.

In this respect, **ShenYunze (2014)** reported that the growth period of wheat was largely extended by shorter photoperiod before flowering, particularly the number of days from tillering to jointing and from jointing to heading. Also the period from flowering to maturity was extended by shorter photoperiod after flowering.

REFERENCES

- Abdelkhalik, S.A.M. and A.A.E.A. Hagra**s (2021). Behavior of some Egyptian bread wheat genotypes under different natural photo-thermal environments. *Egyptian J. Agric. Res.*, 99(2):142-157.
- Al-Amiri, M.M. A and M. A. Al-Obaidi** (2016). Evaluation of several genetic structures of wheat and treaticale crops under Desperm Agriculture Conditions in Sulaymaniyah governorate. *Anbar J. Agric. Sci.*, 163(4): 141-171.
- El-Areed, Sh.R.M. ; M.M. Mohamed ; Sh.Th.I. El-Sherif and A.S. Abd El-Hamed** (2017). Response of some Egyptian bread wheat cultivars to different sowing dates. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.*, 21(7):1297– 1307.
- Ali, M.A. ; M. Ali ; M. Sattar and L. Ali** (2010). Sowing date effect on yield of different wheat varieties. *J. Agric. Res.*, 48: 157-162.
- Ali, Amal G.M.; M.A. Omar ; A.I. Nawar and S. Sh. El-Tabbakh** (2016). Effect of sowing date and nitrogen fertilization level on growth and productivity of some durum and bread wheat varieties. *Alex. Sci. Exchange J.*, 37(4): 541-549.
- Al-Hamdawi, Esraa. R.S.** (2017). Contribution of flag leaf, rest of plant leaves and inflorescence parts to growth and grain yield of three cultivars of wheat and oats. M.Sc. Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Muthanna University, Samawa, Iraq.
- Al-Jiashi, A.A.** (2020). Effect of planting dates on the growth, yield and quality of local cultivars and newly introduced genotypes of fine wheat. PhD thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Muthanna University, Samawa, Iraq.
- Attia, M.A. and M.S. Barsoum** (2013). Effect of supplementary irrigation and bio-fertilization on wheat yield productivity under rainfed conditions. *Alex. J. Agric. Res.*, 58(2): 149 -157.
- Badawi, M.A. ; S.A. El-Moursy ; S.E. Seadh ; M.I. El-Emery and A.E.M. Shalaby** (2014). Effect of sowing dates and seed

- treatments on productivity and seed quality of some wheat cultivars. *J. Plant Prod.*, 5(2): 227-240.
- El Hag, Dalia, A.A. (2012).** Effect of sowing date and nitrogen level on yield and quality of bread and durum wheat. PhD Thesis, Kafr Elsheikh Univ., Egypt.
- El-Sayed, Soad A. ; Eman N.M. Mohamed ; Dalia A. A. El Hag and Amany M. Mohamed (2018).** Sowing dates effect on yield and grain quality of some wheat cultivars. *J. Plant Prod.*, Mansoura Univ., 9 (2): 203 – 213.
- Eslami, H. ; S.M. Hadi and M.K. Arabi (2014).** Effect of planting date on protein content of wheat varieties. *Int. J. Farming Allied Sci.*, 3: 362-364.
- Farooq, M. ; I. Khan ; S. Ahamed ; N. Tlyas ; A. Saboor ; M. Bakhtiar ; S. Khan ; I. Khan and N. Ilyas (2018).** Agronomical efficiency of two wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivaries against different level of nitrogen fertilizer in Subtropical region of Pakistan. *Int. J. Environ. & Agric. Res.*, 4(4): 227-233.
- Gomez, K.A. and A. Gomez (1984).** Statistical Procedures of Agricultural Research. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA. 2nd Ed., 680p.
- Hasina, G.; S. Beena ; A.Z. Khan ; L. Umbarin and A. Khalid (2012).** Yield and yield contributing traits of wheat cultivars in relation with planting dates and nitrogen fertilization. *J. Agric. and Biol. Sci.*, 7(6): 386-395.
- Hassanein, M.K. ; M. Elsayed and A.A. Khalil (2012).** Impacts of sowing date, cultivar, irrigation regimes and location on bread wheat production in Egypt under climate change conditions. *Nature and Sci.*, 10(12): 141-150.
- IBM crop (2021).** IBM SPSS Statics For Windows, Version 28.0. Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Crop
- Jassim, S.R. ; K.M. Tariq and J.T. Adnan (2016).** Effect of planting dates on growth, yield and components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Maysan J. Academic Studies*, 29: 176-185.
- Lak, M.; A. Farnia and M. Shaban (2013).** Effect of different sowing dates on yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) cultivars in Lorestan Province. *Iran Adv. Agric. and Biol.*, 4: 89-93.
- Levene, H. (1960).** Robust Test For Equality Of Variances. In *Contribution To Probability And Statistics: Essays In Honor Of*

Harold Hotelling, I. Olkin, S. G. Ghurye, W. Hoeffding, W. G. Madow, and H. B. Mann (Eds), 278-292. Stanford, California, USA: Stanford University Press.

- Menshawy, A.M.M. (2007).** Evaluation of some early bread wheat genotypes under different sowing dates: 1. Earliness characters. proceeding of Fifth Plant Breeding Conference, Giza, Egypt, (27 May). National Wheat Research Program, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC, Giza, Egypt. *J. Plant Breed.*, 11(1): 25-40.
- Menshawy, A.M.M. ; A.A. Al-Soqeer and S.M. Al-Otyak (2015).** Earliness, yield and heat sensitivity in bread wheat under natural heat stress. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 93: (2A):373.
- Ouda, S.A. ; Z. El-Hafeez ohry ; H. Alkitkat ; M. Morsy ; T. Sayad and A. Kamel (2017).** Future of Food Gaps in Egypt: Obstacles and Opportunities. Springer International Publishing.
- Riaz- Ud-Din, R. ; G.M. Subhani ; N. Ahmad ; M. Hussain and A. Ur- Rehman (2010).** Effect of temperature on development and grain formation in spring wheat. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 42 (2): 899-906.
- Seleem, S.A. and S.M. Abd El-Dayem (2013).** Response of some wheat cultivars to nitrogen fertilizer levels, *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 4 (5): 721 –731.
- Shen Yunze, G.S. (2014):** Effects of photoperiod on wheat growth, development and yield. *CELSS.*, 105 (1): 24-29.
- Talukder, A.S. ; M.H.M.G.K. McDonald and G.S. Gill (2014).** Effect of short-term heat stress prior to flowering and at early grain set on the utilization of water-soluble carbohydrate by wheat genotypes. *Field Crop Res.*, 147 (7): 1-11.
- Tawfelis, M.B. ; A.A. El-Sherbieny and M.N. Abd El-Kader (2006).** Genetic studies on grain yield and some agronomic characters in some durum wheat crosses. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 84: 1479-1492.
- Upadhyay R.G. ; R. Ranjan and P. S. Negi (2015).** Influence of sowing dates and varieties on productivity of wheat under mid-Himalayan region. *Uttarakhand* 33(2): 1905- 1909.
- Waller, R.A. and D.B. Duncan (1969).** A bays rule for the symmetric multiple comparisons problems. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 64 (328): 1484-1503.
- Yadav, M. ; M.W. Devokta ; S.K. Sah and R. Bhatt (2018).** Effect of sowing date on yield and yield components of different wheat varieties. *Nepalese J. Agric. Sci.*, 16: 96-102.

تأثير مواعيد الزراعة على محصول الحبوب ومكوناته لبعض التراكيب الوراثية لقمح الخبز

محمود شمروخ محمد ، احمد على زين العابدين ، مصطفى عمر مصطفى

قسم بحوث القمح، معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية ، مركز البحوث الزراعية.

بعض المزارعين في مصر الوسطى يلجأون لزراعة القمح (قمح الخبز) في مواعيد متأخرة بسبب أن حقولهم تكون منزرعة بم
حاصل لم تصل لمرحلة النضج مثل البصل و البطاطس و الكرنب وبالتالي يتأخر
حصاد هذه المحاصيل بالاضافة الى التغيرات المناخية والتي قد تؤثر على مواعيد زراعة
المحاصيل، ولهذه الاسباب اقيمت هذه التجربة في محطة البحوث الزراعية بأسبوط خلال
موسمى الزراعة المتاليين 2022/2021 و 2023/2022 ، لذلك كان الهدف من الدراسة
التعرف على أفضل ميعاد للزراعة لستة عشرة تركيبا وراثيا من قمح الخبز للحصول على أفضل
محصول من الحبوب وذلك في ثلاث مواعيد زراعة وهي العاشر من نوفمبر، الخامس من
ديسمبر والثلاثين من ديسمبر . كان التصميم الاحصائى المستخدم هو القطاعات المنشقة مرة
واحدة في تصميم الكامل العشوائية في اربع مكررات حيث تم وضع مواعيد الزراعة في القطع
الرئيسية ووضعت التراكيب الوراثية في القطع المنشقة (14 سلالة وصنفين من قمح الخبز
مصر 3 وسخا 95) . وأوضحت النتائج أن مواعيد الزراعة كانت لها تأثير معنوى على جميع
الصفات المدروسة على جميع التراكيب الوراثية خلال موسمى الزراعة والنتائج التجميعية.كانت
أعلى النتائج لصفة عدد السنابل/م² للسلالة 8 (369 و 361) في الميعاد الأول والثانى و في
الميعاد المتأخر السلالة 7 (266) وكان أعلى عدد حبوب السنبل للسلالة 12 (86.62) و
82.75) خلال الميعادين الأول والثانى والسلالة 3 (86,25) في الميعاد الأول و اعطت
السلالة 11 (42.59 و 30,79 جم) أعلى متوسط لوزن الألف حبة خلال الميعادين الأول
والمتأخر ، وكان أعلى محصول الحبوب /فدان في ميعاد الزراعة الأمثل و المتأخر للسلالة
11 (16.86 و 9.56 أردب/فدان).