THE PREDICTION OF DISABILITY TO SCAPULAR TRAINING IN PATIENTS WITH SHOULDER IMPINGEMENT SYNDROME
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ABSTRACT

Study design: prospective clinical trial study

Background: People with shoulder impingement syndrome (SIS) present alterations in the scapular kinematics ‘scapular dyskinesis’ when compared with asymptomatic individuals. Those patients consistently report disability, especially during overhead activities, which might hinder activities of daily living and for some sports movements.

Objectives: To investigate if the disability can predict patient’s response to scapular training. Methods: Forty-five patients between 18-45 years old, their mean age (32.56±2.85) years and their mean body mass index (BMI) (29±6) kg/m², suffering from subacromial impingement syndrome assessed by Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) before and after exercise program of scapular muscle training (Cools exercises), serratus anterior strength (supine punch) and scapular stabilization exercises for one month. Results: The Area under curve (AUC) showed excellent results with disability percent 0.94(sensitivity 0.956, specificity 0.156). Conclusion: disability is a predictor of treatment success in patients suffering from subacromial impingement syndrome treated with scapular training.

INTRODUCTION

Shoulder impingement syndrome (SIS) is a common complaint for all aged patients with different activity levels (Moezy et al., 2014). It is defined as compression and mechanical abrasion of the rotator cuff structures as they pass under the coracoacromial arch during arm elevation (Koester et al., 2005). People who are frequently doing
repetitive overhead motions related to their professions or athletic activities are also at risk of SIS (Koester et al., 2005; Brumitt et al., 2006). Thus, their physical independence and jobs are in danger of being loosed, that have important socioeconomic implications (Moezy et al., 2014).

Optimal scapular positioning and scapular control during shoulder movements may be important for normal shoulder function (Ludewig and Reynolds, 2009). Alteration in scapular position and movement are important risk factors for subacromial impingement syndrome (Kibler et al., 2013; Clarsen et al., 2014). Stability of the scapula depends on the surrounding musculature, so, altered muscle activity in the scapular muscles and loss of scapular stabilization is commonly believed to be a crucial factor contributing to shoulder impingement syndrome (Ludewig and Cook, 2000; Cools et al., 2003).

Most of the exercise protocols emphasise the importance of scapular training as an essential component of shoulder rehabilitation (Başkurt et al., 2011; struyf et al., 2013; Moezy et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2014; Aytar et al., 2015).

Clinical prediction rules (CPRs) are tools planned to improve decision making in clinical practice, based on a subset of predictor variables from the history and physical examination (Childs & Cleland, 2006). Up to authors knowledge no study has recognized specific clinical examination variables that are predictive of which patients with the condition will respond successfully to scapular training.

**METHODS**

This study designed as a single arm clinical trial study that was conducted at outpatient clinic at faculty of physical therapy, Cairo University, between September 2020 and April 2021 months.

Forty-five patients (male and female) between the age 18 and 45 years old, referred by orthopaedic surgeon as subacromial impingement syndrome (stage I and II Neer’s classification). The first examiner checked patient’s eligibility to the study according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria:

**Inclusion criteria:** (NEER and Welsh RP., 1977; Lukasiewicz et al., 1999; Michener et al., 2009; Cook et al., 2013; Consigliere et al., 2018)

1. Age 18-45 years old patients diagnosed as subacromial impingement syndrome. Patients included in this study as if they have at least 3 of the following 6 criteria:
   - Positive "Neer sign": The examiner passively flexes the humerus with medial rotation to end-range with over pressure. The
patient's facial expression and the reproduction of the pain confirm the presence of impingement.

- Positive "Hawkins sign": The shoulder is passively placed in approximately 90 degrees of flexion and is passively internally rotated to end-range with overpressure, reproducing the patient's pain.
- Pain with active shoulder elevation in the scapular plane.
- Pain with palpation of the rotator cuff tendons.
- Pain with resisted isometric abduction.
- A history of pain in the superior part of lateral arm.

2. Demonstration of a painful arc of the arm from 60 to 120 of flexion.

Exclusion criteria: (Saito et al., 2018)

1. Diagnosis of internal shoulder impingement.
3. Recent trauma of shoulder.
4. Torn tendons.
5. Ligamentous laxity based on a positive Sulcus and apprehension tests.
6. Numbness or tingling in the upper extremity.
8. Previous shoulder or cervical spine surgery.
10. Corticosteroid injection on the shoulder within 1 year of the study.
11. Evidence of central nervous system involvement, or the inability to comply with treatment.

All patients received explanation of the study objectives and procedures. If the patients fit in the study criteria, they asked to sign the written consent form to participate in the study. Also, they informed that the data collected would be submitted for publication.

Instrumentations and procedures:

**Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI):**

It is a self-report questionnaire established to measure the pain and disability associated with shoulder pathology in people with shoulder pain of musculoskeletal, neurogenic, or undetermined origin (Roy et al., 2009).

Test-retest reliability of the SPADI ranges from 0.84 to 0.91, and the minimal clinically important difference ranges from 8 to 13.2 points (Roy et al., 2009).

The translated version of SPADI in the Arabic language showed excellent internal consistency and test–retest reliability and construct
validity based on substantial correlations of Arabic SPADI with other methods of evaluation (Yahia et al., 2011). The SPADI is recommended for the evaluation of patients with shoulder dysfunction (Alsanawi et al., 2015).

Pretest evaluation
Shoulder function was assessed using the Arabic version of Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI).

Disability domain of SPADI (physical function, 8 items): each item scored on a visual analogue scale ranging from 0 to 10, where 0 no difficulty and 10 so difficult require help (Roy et al., 2009).

Total disability score: \[ \frac{\text{score}}{80} \times 100 = \% \]
Total percentage score ranging from 0 to 100, where 0 best and 100 worst (Roy et al., 2009)

a) Posttest evaluation
Final evaluation was assessed after twelve sessions of scapular training by the second examiner.

Progression of:
- Shoulder disability by SPADI.

Interventions procedures
patients scheduled to join physical therapy three sessions per week for one month (Saito et al., 2018). Three sets of 10 repetitions for each exercise were given per session, with a 1-minute rest between sets (De Mey et al., 2012). Resistance was established by 10 repetition maximum (RM) testing, these interventions was achieved by the first examiner (Cools et al., 2007).

1. Scapular muscle training (Cools exercises):
These exercises are successful in restoration of upper trapezius, middle trapezius and lower trapezius muscle imbalances (Cools et al., 2007).

a. Forward flexion in side-lying, patient was in side-lying, shoulder in neutral position; patient performed forward side-lying position flexion in a horizontal plane to 135°.

b. Side-lying external rotation, patient was in side-lying with the shoulder in neutral position and the elbow flexed 90°; patient performed external rotation of the shoulder (with towel between trunk and elbow to avoid compensatory movements).

c. Horizontal abduction with external rotation, patient was in prone with the shoulders resting in 90° forward flexion; patient performed horizontal abduction to horizontal position, with an
additional external rotation of the shoulder at the end of the movement.

d. Prone extension, patient was in prone with the shoulders resting in 90° forward flexion; patient performed extension to neutral position with the shoulder in neutral rotational position.

b. Serratus anterior strength (supine punch):
Supine (serratus anterior) punch done by lying supine on a bench, while keeping the shoulder at 90° of flexion with the elbow extended maximally, then protracting the scapula to its ending rang (Escalante et al., 2020).

1. Scapular stabilization exercises:
Scapular-clock exercise enhanced the scapular motions of elevation, depression, protraction, and retraction also, enhance joint kinaesthesia and range of motion. The participant stood at the side of a plinth while putting his hand on a ball and move it to show 3, 6, 9 or 12 o’clock based on an imaginary clock he had on his mind. This exercise can performed by pressing a ball and replacing it on a wall (Moezy et al., 2014).

Data Analysis:
forty-five patients between the age 18 and 45 years old (41 female and 4 male), referred by orthopaedic surgeon as subacromial impingement syndrome (stage I and II Neer’s classification).

First the patients were interviewed, and if they matched with the inclusion criteria, they were asked to join the study. Then the detailed history was recorded from the patient included (name, age, sex, occupation, duration of symptoms, and affected side).

RESULTS:
The results of the demographic data showed that their mean age was (32.56±2.85) years and their mean body mass index was (BMI) (29±6) kg/m².

Table (1): Distribution of disability in percent among the participants and correlation between results of participants before and after using of the test, as regarding to.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ±SD</th>
<th>Range (Min-Max)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disability in percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>17±10</td>
<td>43(1-44)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>52±20</td>
<td>74(17-92)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¶ Test of Correlation (Pearson’s correlation)
‡ T – test
† One way anova test

There was highly statistically significant difference between results of participants pre and post as regarding to disability in percent.
Figure 1: mean of pre and post disability in percent

Table (2): Description of the ROC curve, demonstrating AUC, Reliability, validity of interfering with this procedure, and Cut off points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut Off Points</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Positive if Greater Than or Equal To a</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>1-Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disability in percent</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>30.850</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC = Area under the curve

This table shows that disability Percent:
- Its sensitivity is 0.956
- Its 1-specificity is 0.156
- Positive if greater than or equal To a is 30.85
- Area under curve is 0.94 ad result is excellent

Figure 2: ROC curve, demonstrating AUC, Reliability, validity of interfering with this procedure, and Cut off points
DISCUSSION

This study was conducted to investigate if disability can predict patient’s response to scapular training in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome.

The results of the present study showed a significant difference regarding disability in percent pre and post the scapular training test (17±10; 52±20 respectively) (P value < 0.05).

This study indicated that, scapular training is effective in improving function in patients suffering from subacromial impingement syndrome. These findings were similar to findings of (Bury et al., 2016; Reijneveld et al., 2017; Saito et al., 2018), who found that scapular training were effective in controlling pain, improving disability status and improving the scapular kinematics in patient with subacromial impingement syndrome.

Other study evaluated the effects of scapular stabilisation exercises immediately after surgery in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome, they found reduced pain and improved function after treatment(Park et al., 2013).

Furthermore, in multiple case series studies, positive effects on function and clinical measures of scapular positioning were found after a scapular exercise programme(De Mey et al., 2012; Worsley et al., 2013).

Our results showed that disability percent has an excellent sensitivity and is a positive predictor of the use of scapular training in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome if its value is greater than or equal To a is 30.85.

Such findings come to an agreement with (Hotta et al., 2018) study analyzing the effect of scapular training protocol in impingement syndrome indicated that exercises focused on scapula are beneficial in decreasing impingement syndrome, there have been significant improvement in shoulder pain and disability index (P<0.01).

CONCLUSION:

Disability was a predictor of treatment success in patients suffering from subacromial impingement syndrome treated with scapular training.

RECOMMENDATION:

Future studies are recommended to investigate the effect of other factors on patient’s outcome following scapular training.
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مرضى باستمرار عن إعاقتهم، خاصة أثناء الأنشطة البدنية. الهدف: لمعرفة ما إذا كانت الإعاقة يمكن أن تتقاطع باستجابة المريض للتدريب الكتفي. الطريقة: خمسة وأربعون مريضًا تتراوح أعمارهم بين 18-45 عامًا، ومتوسط أعمارهم (32.56 ± 2.85) عامًا ومؤشر كتلة الجسم المتوسط (6 ± 29) كجم / م²، يعانون من متلازمة الاصطدام تحت الأخرم التي تم تقييمها بواسطة آلام الكتف و مؤشر الإعاقة (SPADI) قبل وبعد ممارسة برنامج تدريب عضلات الكتف (تمارين Cools) وتمارين القوة الأمامية المساندة (لعبة الاستقلاء) وتمارين الثدي الكتفي لمدة شهر واحد.

النتائج: أظهرت المنطقة تحت المنحنى (AUC) نتائج ممتازة مع نسبة الإعاقة 0.94 (حساسية 0.956، خصوصية 0.156). الخلاصة: كانت الإعاقة مؤشرًا على نجاح العلاج في المرضى الذين يعانون من متلازمة الاصطدام تحت الأخرم الذين عولجوا بتدريب كتفي.

الكلمات الدالة: تدريب كتفي، متلازمة انحصار الكتف، قاعدة التنبؤ السريري، SPADI